ABSTRACT
A publish/subscribe system dynamically routes and delivers information from information producers to interested users. Efficient event filtering (or event matching) algorithms are the kernel of publish/subscribe systems. And most of research efforts are focusing on the multiple one-dimension indexes in last several years. There are two main problems in such kind of systems: the heavy workload of the indices' maintenance and the poor performance of index searching process for the inequality operators (> , <). We propose a multidimensional predicate index based on the UB-tree, which has no limit on the using of operators (=, <, >). Also we just use one index to reduce the workload of maintenance dramatically. In this paper, our proposal will be introduced and compared with other famous algorithms.
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1. INTRODUCTION
A publish/subscribe system connects together information producers, which publish events to the system, and information consumers, which subscribe to particular types of events within the system. The system is responsible for identifying the set of subscriptions that are matched by a published event (if any), and for notifying the corresponding subscribers. The earliest publish/subscribe systems were subject-based. In such systems, information consumers subscribe to one or more subjects and the system notifies them each time an event, classified as belonging to one of the subjects they subscribed to is published. Event matching is a straightforward task in these systems because events can be filtered only according to their subject. Any additional event filtering has to be done by the subscriber himself.

An attractive alternative to subject-based systems is the content-based systems. These systems appear to be more promising in meeting subscriber's needs of defining filtering criteria (conjunction of predicates) when they register their interest in receiving publications. Compared to subject-based systems, content-based systems allow subscribers to express a "query" against the content of a published event. Examples of content-based systems are READY [13], and Le Subscribe [23]. In general, most content-based subscriptions systems use quite similar publication and subscriptions languages. In these systems, an event is distinguished based on its event schema. An event schema defines the type of the information contained in each event, and the system usually supports multiple event schemas.

In our study, we build a predicate-based index on UB-tree by dimension transform for efficient event matching. This paper is organized as following: In section 2, is the background of our study. In section 3 the UB-tree and dimension transformation will be introduced. Then our work on how to optimize the event filtering process will be introduced in section 4. And some the related works is introduced in section 5. At last, the experiments and comparisons among our proposal with other famous solutions will be introduced in section 6. The last section is our conclusion.

2. BACKGROUND
2.1 Event Matching Model
The event matching model can be expressed as follows. Given an event $e$ and a set of subscriptions $S$, determine all subscriptions in $S$ that are matched by $e$. A subscription is a conjunction of predicates. A predicate is a triple consisting of an attribute, a constant, and a relational operator ($<$, $<=$, $>$, $>$). A subscription schema defines the type of the information to be supported by publish/subscribe system. The attributes are defined in subscription schema. For example, three attributes: $\text{CompanyName}$, $\text{Price}$ and $\text{ChangeRatio}$ with string, float and float types respectively can be defined for stock market.

Following is a subscription example of stock schema, ($\text{CompanyName} = \text{Yahoo}$) AND ($\text{Price} > 1000$) AND ($\text{ChangeRatio} < 0.05$). An event is an array of pairs of (Attribute, Constant). The size of array depends on subscription schema. Following is an event example of stock schema, ($\text{CompanyName} = \text{Intel}$), ($\text{Price} = 5000$), ($\text{ChangeRatio} = 0.03$). An event
A conjunction of two predicates: 
\[(0.1) \land (0.1)\] matches following subscription which is expressed as a conjunction of two predicates: \((\text{CompanyName} = \text{Yahoo}) \land (\text{Price} < 1000)\).

Event matching algorithms in the content-based publish/subscribe systems can be classified into two categories:

- Algorithms based on predicate index. The algorithms based on predicate indexing consist of two steps:
  - The first step determines all predicates that are satisfied by the event.
  - The second step finds all subscriptions that are matched by the events based on the results of the first phase.

Algorithms based on predicate indexing techniques use a set of one-dimension index structures to index predicates in the subscriptions. They differ from each other by the way to select predicates from subscriptions, which are kept in the index structures [7] [10] [15] [20] [23] [28].

Basically, the predicates are grouped based on all subscriptions. A predicate family consists of predicates having the same attribute. For each attribute, one predicate index is built. For example, for stock schema introduced previously, three predicate indexes will be built for CompanyName, Price, ChangeRatio.

- Algorithm based on subscription index [1] [18]. The techniques based on subscription index insert subscriptions into a matching tree. Events enter the tree from root node and are filtered through by intermediate nodes. An event that passes all intermediate testing nodes reaches leaf nodes where references of matching subscriptions are stored.

Because the attributes used in subscriptions should not be fixed, there are lots of incomplete subscription hyper cubes which overlap each other heavily. So normally it is hard to use of multidimensional indices structure directly to build efficient indices on those subscriptions hyper cubes for point enclosure query. For this reason, we choose range query and do dimension transform in our design.

As introduced in [6] [8] [9] [12], many multidimensional index structures have been proposed for range query. Because besides efficient event filtering (search), publish/subscribe system requires both dynamic maintenance and space efficiency, not all multidimensional index structure can meet above requirements. For example, performance of R-tree [14] and R*-tree [4] suffer from region splitting and merging while updating index. R+-tree [26] cannot guarantee a minimal storage utilization; KD-tree [5] is sensitive to the order in which the points are inserted; quadtree [25] is unbalanced and sensitive to data density. UB-tree [2] [11] [24] is designed to perform multidimensional range query. It is a dynamic index structure based on B-tree and supports updates with logarithmic performance like B-tree with space complexity \(O(n)\). For above reasons, we choose UB-tree to perform range query in our design.

3. UB-TREE AND DIMENSION TRANSFORMATION

From viewpoint of search in high dimensional data space, event filtering of subscriptions using operators "<" or ">" can be regarded as the following two kinds of queries:

- Events are point enclosure queries and subscriptions are hyper cubes.
- Events are range queries and subscriptions are points.

In this case, dimension transform is required.

3.2 Dimension Transform for Event Filtering

The UB-tree [22] is a clustering index for multidimensional point data, which inherits all good properties of the B-tree. Logarithmic performance guarantees are given for the basic operations of insertion, deletion and point query. The UB-tree clusters data according to a space filling curve, which is named as the Z-curve and introduces the new idea of partitioning the data space into disjoint Z-regions, which are mapped into disk pages. The Z-regions are then indexed by a B-tree using last included Z-address as key, which is the ordinal of a point on the Z-curve. As shown in Fig.1, Z(x) is a bijective function that computes for every tuple \(x\) its Z-address, i.e., its position on the space filling Z-curve. The slide presents the Z-addresses (or Z-values) for an 8x8 universe in Fig.1(a). Z-values are efficiently computed by bit-interleaving as described in Fig.1(b).

These Z-regions in conjunction with a sophisticated algorithm for multidimensional range queries [3] and the Tetris algorithm [21] for sorted reading of multidimensional ranges offer excellent properties [22] for multidimensional applications like data warehousing, archiving systems, temporal data management, etc. The middle part, in the Fig.2, shows a Z-region partitioning (or also called UB-tree partitioning) which is a disjoint set of Z-regions whose union covers the entire multidimensional space. In this figure the partitioning consists of 5 Z-regions. Most Z-regions preserve spatial proximity, i.e., neighboring points of a given point are in the same region with a high probability. The region [21 : 35] consists of two disconnected parts. If a Z-region could consist of many disconnected parts, this would prevent Z-regions from being suitable for clustering. However, [22] gives a proof that regardless of the dimensionality of the Z-ordered space (i.e., not only for 2d) the number of not connected parts of a Z-region is at most two.

3.2 Dimension Transform for Event Filtering
For one attribute \( A \) with value range \([IMin, IMax]\), the corresponding predicate with format of \( Istart <= A <= Iend \) can be represented as an interval of \([Istart, Iend]\).

Given a corresponding event with value \( Evalue \), if the predicate is satisfied, it means \( Istart <= Evalue <= Iend \), logically it is equal to

\[
(IMin <= Istart <= Evalue) \land (Evalue <= Iend <= IMax)
\]

By defining two new dimensions \( AStart \) and \( AEnd \) for \( Istart \) and \( Iend \), 1D dimensional point enclosure query can be transformed to 2D range query as shown in Fig. 3.

For one attribute, after transform from 1D to 2D, event becomes range query and subscription becomes point data. The new 2D space has following properties:

- **Event range.** Event range is determined by two vertices in 2D space. Upper left corner \((IMin, IMax)\) is fixed. Lower right corner \((Evalue, Evalue)\) is always located on the diagonal of 2D space as shown in Fig. 3.

- **Equality predicate point.** It means \( Istart == Iend \) is true, so it is located on the diagonal of 2D space.

- **Half-interval predicate point.** Half-interval predicate means only one operator is used, like \( Istart <= A <= Iend \). It logically equals to \( Istart <= A <= IMax \) or \( IMin <= A <= Iend \). The half-interval predicate point is located on the border of 2D space above the diagonal.

- **TRUE.** For unsatisfied subscription, only parts of attributes are used. Because subscription is a conjunction of predicates, for the attributes not be used in the subscription, their related predicates should always be considered as TRUE. Logically TRUE can be represented as \( IMin <= A <= IMax \). Then TRUE is a point with constant value \((IMin, IMax)\).

- **Dead Space.** Because \( Istart <= Iend \), there is no data located in the space under diagonal space. It’s called dead space. And we don’t need to allocate the space for this area.

For above properties, even the data in 1D space are distributed uniformly, it is very possible that data skew occurs after transform. Without data skew, the number of results of range query will be limited for its low selectivity on high dimensional space. Data skew depends on the percentage of kinds of predicates used in subscriptions. Its influence on performance of event filtering will be shown and discussed later in Fig. 7(d) and Fig. 7(e).

4. PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT

With the emergence of cheap computers with huge memory, more and more algorithms can be run in the main memory. Considering the performance, our solution is designed to be executed in the main memory too. In this section, after analyzing the workload distribution of UB-tree’s range querying, we propose our optimizing methods focusing on reducing the cost of filtering operation [27].

4.1 Workload Distribution of Range Query

Because original UB-tree is designed for secondary storage, the performance is dominated by I/O cost. The main task of its range query is to calculate efficiently the set of one-dimensional intervals of Z-value (Z-regions). Our index
is designed to run in main memory, for performance improvement, the workload distribution of calculating intervals of Z-value and filtering results from candidate objects kept in the selected Z-regions, should be considered comprehensively.

According to UB-tree’s structure, workload distribution depends on the setting of the maximum number of objects kept in one Z-Region (corresponding to one leaf node of B+tree) as shown in Fig.4(a) (Please refer to Table.1 in Section 6 for detail information of test environment).

![Figure 4: Workload distribution](image)

From Fig.4(a) we can find that there exists a value range (nearly from 400 to 900 here) where the best performance can be gotten. So we will do some optimization work within this range. As shown in Fig.4(b) (the maximum number is 700 there), the workloads of this two steps (filtering process and the intervals collecting process) are different. Cost on filtering operation accounts for major part of the total cost. And the cost changes linearly with the number of subscriptions (objects). Cost to collect intervals (Z-regions) is relatively small and stable. So the goal of the optimization of UB-tree in the main memory is to reduce the cost related to filtering operation. Two methods are proposed: one is reducing the input of filtering operation (Section 4.2); another is improving the performance of filtering operation itself (Section 4.3).

### 4.2 Reduce Input of Filtering Operation

The basic idea is shown in Fig.5(a), an array of grid tables is created dynamically to reduce input of filtering operation. Each dimension is equally divided by a linear hash and the total space is divided into grid similar to grid file. Grid table is an array of grid cells, which is not located in dead space. It records whether each cell is covered or not by the incoming event range. The sequence number of the item in grid table (cell array) is called cell ID. The structure of one grid table is shown in Fig.5(b). After dimension transformation, N attributes corresponds to one 2ND space. The left side of Fig.5(b) shows an example of cell ID setting in 2D space. The right side of Fig.5(b) shows an example of cell ID setting in 4D space. Here each dimension is divided equally into two parts. The links show the corresponding relation between the 2D space and 4D space when number of attributes changes from 1 to 2. The method of calculating cell ID is straightforward and skipped here.

In order to make use of grid table, the following extension should be done:

- While inserting a subscription point, its corresponding cell ID is calculated and kept inside the index with the subscription point. Because each subscription point corresponds to one cell of the grid, the subscription can compute its cell ID according to its coordinates on dimensions.
- Before searching index, the grid table should be reset and filled according to the range of input event. For the cell intersecting with the event range, its entry will be set to 1, otherwise left to 0 as shown in Fig.5(c). The content of each item is “cell ID(value)”. Cell ID is not kept in the item.

As introduced previously, grid table is dynamically built and assigned. Because the size of grid table increases exponentially with the increasing of the number of attributes, building one grid table on all attributes is impractical. In order to save the size of grid table, only parts of attributes with higher selectivity and larger value domain, are selected to build grid table. Further these attributes are divided into groups to reduce the exponential increment of the memory used caused by the large number of selected attributes. Each group corresponds to disjunctive lower dimensional space. That’s the reason why an array of grid tables is used in Fig.5(a). In this case, corresponding different groups, multiple grid tables should be created and checked while do event filtering.

Before filtering a candidate object (subscription point) of UB-tree, the cell ID(s) kept with the candidate object will be used to check whether the corresponding cells intersect with the input event range by looking up the grid table(s). If one of the cell values is 0, that means the corresponding cell doesn’t intersect with input range, and then there is no need to send this candidate object to the filtering operation.
further. So the input of filtering operation is reduced.

Because this optimization method is not dependent on UB-tree, so it can be applied to other similar multidimensional index structure. It is the intersection of two orthogonal partitioning methods: space-filling curve and grid table. Even the adding of grid table is a kind of overhead, the cost of maintain an array of grid tables with smaller size, can be neglected compared with larger number of candidate objects in a large database. The effectiveness of the grid table will be shown later in Fig.8.

4.3 Improve Performance of Filtering Operation
As introduced before, Z-address is the key gotten by bit-interleaving of coordinates corresponding to all dimensions. Even the Z-address can be used to do filtering operation directly, the operation is much expensive than the bitwise operation. In order to improve the performance of filtering operation, the coordinates of subscription points are added in UB-tree like Z-addresses.

According to above two optimization methods, the structure of a node entry\(^1\) in UB-tree is extended as shown in Fig.6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z-address</th>
<th>Grid Id</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>Grid Id</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Entry extension of UB-tree node

5. RELATED WORK
A lot of algorithms related to event matching have been proposed. Some are proposed for publish/subscribe systems [1] [10] [18] [28] and continuous queries [7] [20]; some are proposed for active database [15] [17].

Predicate indexing techniques have been widely applied. There, a set of one-dimension index structures to index the predicates in the subscriptions. Mainly, there are two kinds of predicate indexing based algorithms: counting algorithm [28] and Hanson algorithm [15] [17]. They differ from each other by whether or not all predicates in subscriptions are placed in the index structures. According to [19], it is hard to judge which one is better because counting algorithm depends on average probability of a predicate to be satisfied and Hanson algorithm depends on average probability of matching each access (selected) predicate. [28] is an Information Dissemination System (IDS) for document filtering. There, the predicate index is an inverted list which is built based on the vocabularies used in predicates. In [15] [17], algorithms related to rule management were proposed. The key component of the algorithm in [15] is the interval binary search tree (IBS-tree) for each attribute. The IBS-tree is designed for efficient retrieval of all intervals that overlap a point, while allowing dynamic insertion and deletion of intervals. "Expression Signature" is designed to group subscriptions and share computation in [17]. In [10], Hanson algorithm is extended by dynamic clustering. High performance is gotten compared to counting algorithm, but there fixed attributes are predefined and value domain of attributes is limited to 5-100.

In [10], the algorithm has another important aspect: access predicate. A predicate \(p\) can be an access predicate for a subscription \(s\) only if \(s\) can only match the events that verifies \(p\). And \(p\) is associated with a reference to a list of subscription clusters. This guarantees that subscriptions in the cluster list associated to \(p\) need to checked if and only if \(p\) is satisfied. In our experiment, we don’t consider the memory restrict and rebuild the access-predicate based indices to get the best filtering performance.

The testing networking based techniques initially preprocess the subscription into a matching tree. Different from predicate index, [1] and [18] built subscription trees based on subscription schema. In [1], each non-leaf node contains a test, and edges from the node represent results of that test. The test and result correspond to predicate. A leaf node contains a subscription. The matching is to walk the matching tree by performing the test prescribed by each node and following the edge according to the result of test. If number of matched subscription(s) is greater than one, multiple paths will be walked. In [18], Profile (subscription) tree is built, the height of tree is number of attributes defined in subscription schema. Each non-leaf level corresponds to one attribute of event schema. Each attribute domain is divided into non-overlapping sub-ranges by the value of predicate. One leaf node contains multiple subscriptions whose predicates are satisfied by the values of attributes in the subranges. There is only a single path to follow in order to find the matched subscriptions.

In the later experiments, we use counting algorithm and access-based algorithm, which are the representative predicate indexing techniques, as the contrasts.

6. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION
In this section, we'll evaluate our proposed index and the effectiveness of optimizing method. At same time we compare it with 1) counting algorithm since it is used in many publish/subscribe systems and 2) bruteforce considering about the curse of dimensionality. 3) access-predicate based algorithm which has a high performance. 4) R-tree considering about the multidimensional indices' comparison for the original R-tree without dimension transformation.

6.1 Environment
The set of parameters used in simulation is listed in Table 1. In all of the experiments presented in the rest of the paper, the parameters take their default values except the parameter on horizontal axis. The type of simulated data is short integer with 16bits. B+tree is used to build UB-tree with fanout 4, and the order of one leaf node is 350\(^2\).

\(^1\)Leaf node of UB-tree based on B+-tree.

\(^2\)Because binary search tree is the fast search algorithm based on tree structure in main memory, it has fanout 2.
Table 1: Simulated parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value range</th>
<th>Default value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of subscriptions</td>
<td>0-2000000</td>
<td>1200000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of dimensions (attributes)</td>
<td>8-40</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possibility of one attribute is used in subscription</td>
<td>0-100%</td>
<td>the first 3 attribute is 100%, the 4th-8th attributes is 70%, the others are 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of one subscription is satisfied</td>
<td>0-100%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of equality predicates used</td>
<td>0-100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of half-interval predicate among non-equality predicates</td>
<td>0-100%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two grid tables are built for first 8 attributes. 4 attributes use one grid table. Each space is divided into 5 parts. We implemented a workload generator according to a workload specification. The events are created randomly. The hardware platform is Sun Fire 4800 with 4 900MHz CPUs and 16G memory. The OS is Solaris 8. We will do kinds of evaluations by changing one parameter and fixing other parameters.

To compare with the access-predicate based algorithm in the experiment, we extend the scenarios in the Le subscribe system [10]: the total number of attributes, which the subscription can choose, is 32. The domain size for each attribute is 10000. And the number of the fixed attributes is from 8 up to 28, the number of the unfixed attributes is only 1. For all the scenarios, in the fixed attributes, 2 of them are in equality. And for the one unfixed attribute, it is in equality. The number of subscription is 100000, and the number of event is 100.

Our simulated environment is reasonable for the publish/subscribe system. Notice that, there exists data skew for default distributions of equality predicates and inequality predicates after dimension transform as introduced in section 3. For each attribute after dimension transform, 80% equality predicates will locate on diagonal line and 10% half-interval predicates will locate on the border of 2D space.

6.2 Evaluation Results

Fig.7(a) shows that both original UB-tree and optimized UB-tree have better scalability. Fig.7(b) shows that dimension transform based algorithms are insensitive to the changes of selectivity. The reason is data skew about dimension transform because the access number of leaf node (Z-region) changes 4 times (from 79 to 221) for one event filtering while selectivity changes 100 times (from 0.00001 to 0.001). Fig.8(a) shows the same change trend of objects number in Z-regions and number of results in the same test.

Fig.7(c) shows the performance with different dimensions. The reason that counting algorithm performance is stable is that only the first 8 attributes have higher possibility to be used. Because the filtering operation is applied for every dimension, the time of dimension transform based algorithms and brute force become higher with the increment of dimensions. And the time of optimized algorithm grows a little quickly because the time saved by using of grid table is not dependent on the total attribute number of data space. Fig.7(d) shows performance of counting algorithm heavily depends on the distribution of equality predicates. Fig.7(e) shows the performance with different distributions of half-interval predicates. Again the performance of counting algorithm changes sharply. Fig.7(f) shows that with number increment of the selected attributes, time of counting algorithm rises when more and more one-dimensional indexes.
are used.

The representative effectiveness of grid table is shown in Fig.8 with different selectivity and dimensions. It also shows the changing of the input before and after using grid table. We can find that the lower the selectivity is, the higher the effectiveness of grid table is. The input amount is reduced by 1-2 orders of magnitude here. The difference is more than one order of magnitude that means the curse of dimensionality doesn’t occur in our simulated environment. As introduced above, theoretically selectivity of such kind of range query decreases exponentially for uniformly distributed data when size of the dimension increases. But in practice, the selectivity is dependent on the definition of subscriptions like our simulated environment. The data skew occurs after dimension transform and the data mainly distribute on the hyper plane determined by border of space and its diagonal line, that’s reason the curse of dimensionality is postponed.

During the experiment, we find the original R-tree is much faster than the R-tree with dimension transform. So we choose the original R-tree as our contrast in the experiments. According to the results in Fig.7, we find that the Performance of R-tree is between the performance of the original UB-tree and optimized UB-tree. The optimized UB-tree is more than 3 times than the R-tree in the event filtering process. And from the Fig.9(a), we can find the index building time for the R-tree is much higher than the UB-tree. This is another evidence for the bad insertion performance of the R-tree. So we don’t think it is a good choice for the publish/subscribe system, which also needs good performance of dynamic maintenance.

We also make some comparison with the access-predicate based algorithm. In our experiment, we don’t concern about the memory space used. So we rebuild the access-predicate based algorithm to get the best performance. From the result in Fig.9(b), we find with the number of the fixed attribute grows, the access-predicate based algorithm get worse quickly. But for our solution, it gets more benefit with the dimension increased. And this is the performance comparison based on the similar scenario in the Le subscribe system [10]. With the limitation of the Le subscribe system, we can’t use the access-predicated algorithm on the scenarios, described in the Table1, which is more practical.

7. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed an UB-tree based predicate index for publish/subscribe system by dimension transform. It is more practical According to above experiments, our proposed optimized index is 4 orders of magnitude faster than counting algorithm, and 1 order of magnitude faster than UB-tree without optimizing, and more than 3 times faster than the R-tree solution. For the high dimensional data, it can get more benefit. So we can say that our proposed index structure is efficient under reasonable size of dimension (Maximum is 40, default is 16).
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